In its last Universal Periodic Review in 2011, Uganda made a voluntary commitment to mainstream human rights in all aspects of governance and accepted a number of recommendations related to development. It was also one of the first countries to align its national planning processes to the Sustainable Development Goals. Nevertheless, its plans to fast-track the country’s economic transformation and attain upper middle income status by 2040 pay inadequate attention to the economic, social and cultural rights of Uganda’s most vulnerable, which risks exacerbating poverty and inequality.

**DESPITE DECLINING ABSOLUTE POVERTY, 2 OUT OF 3 UGANDANS REMAIN POOR OR AT RISK OF POVERTY.** The number of people living below the official poverty line, which is equivalent to 1 USD per day, decreased significantly over the past decade (MFPED, 2014). However, the most recent government data shows that almost two thirds of the country, 21.4 million people, remain either poor or ‘at risk’ of poverty—meaning they live on less than USD 2 per day, a closer benchmark to the World Bank’s revised poverty line of USD 1.90 (World Bank, 2015).

**AGGREGATE PROGRESS MASKS PERSISTENT REGIONAL INEQUALITIES.** Despite rapid urbanization, three quarters of the population still lives in rural areas; of the rural population, 70.2% remain poor or at risk, compared to 38.5% in urban areas (MFPED, 2014). As shown below, consumption rates, which measure monthly household expenditure, highlight significant disparities across the country.

**INVESTMENT IN ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS IS LOW.** In line with the second National Development Plan (NDP II) strategy of fiscal expansion, the national budget grew to 22.4% of GDP in 2016/17. However, the bulk of it is earmarked for the development of large scale infrastructure projects. The World Bank has questioned the economic benefit of this approach to date, noting corruption and political interference has contributed to a “huge backlog” of incomplete projects (World Bank, 2016). Further, it has squeezed fiscal space for the sectors crucial for economic, social and cultural rights, funding to which remains low and has largely stagnated since 2011/12.

**GAINS IN POVERTY REDUCTION REMAIN EXTREMELY PRECARIOUS.** Just under three quarters of the rural population are engaged in subsistence agriculture (UBOS, 2014). As Uganda’s economic structure changes through the commercialization of agriculture and growth of the extractive industry, their vulnerability to economic shocks will be exacerbated by increasing pressure on land, fluctuating commodity prices, and shifting labour markets.

**FEW HAVE ACCESS TO SOCIAL PROTECTION TO WITHSTAND ECONOMIC SHOCKS.** Given the large informal sector, it is unsurprising only 2.3% of workers are covered by the National Social Security Fund. Less than 4.3% of the population in need receives any kind of income support, which, to date, has been limited to small scale pilot schemes for the elderly (MGLSD, 2015). Spending on direct income support is only 0.33% of GDP—significantly lower than the 1.1% average among other low income African countries (GoU, 2015). Plans to scale up social protection are undermined by heavy reliance on donors and a growing funding gap.
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**SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES IN BUDGET EXECUTION UNDERMINE SOCIAL SPENDING.** The percent of the allocated budget released from treasury to the relevant ministries is low in the social sector. By contrast, the comparatively well funded public administration sector, which includes the police, State House and President’s Office, has significantly over-released. This diversion of funds makes Uganda’s administrative burden much higher than most countries (World Bank, 2016).
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**GREATER EFFORTS ARE NEEDED TO MOBILIZE CORPORATE TAX REVENUE.** The tax-to-GDP ratio is projected to be 13.4% in 2016/17 (GOU, 2016). This is an improvement on previous years, but far below the East Africa macroeconomic convergence criterion of 25% (Development Initiatives, 2016). In particular, efforts to raise tax revenues from the corporate sector have been weak, in a number of ways.

- Corporation tax makes up a modest share of total tax revenue—it stood at 6.9% in 2015/16—yet the President has publically stated that the current rate of 30% should be reduced (The Monitor, 2016).
- Although many statutory corporate tax exemptions have been removed, large ones remain. A Value Added Tax (VAT) exemption granted to oil companies in 2015 is estimated to be worth up to USD 2.7 billion, for example (TJN-Africa, 2016).
- The “Panama Papers” and litigation by the Uganda Revenue Authority highlight unjustified discretionary tax exemptions, transfer mispricing and other tax avoidance tactics (ANCIR, 2016).
- Illicit financial flows could be costing Uganda an estimated USD 243 million of annual tax revenue (GFI, 2014). This amount would more than cover the funding gap for the government’s social protection policy for the next five years.
- Reflecting a lack of transparency in the extractive industry, mining royalties are paid on company-reported production levels; the government has little capacity to verify these or sanction underreporting (IISD, 2015).

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Continue strengthening its efforts to reduce poverty and advance inclusive growth, by:

- Ensuring the obligations to respect, protect and fulfill economic, social and cultural rights are reflected in the country’s development plans;
- Expanding social protection applying a human rights perspective, including by extending social security to the informal sector and scaling up income support schemes. Allocating adequate funding to the social sector so that the country’s economic growth results in more widespread enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights, including by disadvantaged and marginalized groups and individuals;
- Mobilizing sufficient resources to realize economic, social and cultural rights, including by reviewing corporate tax exemptions and incentives and tackling tax avoidance.
- Considering partnership in the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
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