In its last Universal Periodic Review in 2010, Egypt accepted two recommendations on combating poverty, especially through intensifying its efforts at wealth redistribution and ensuring the inclusion of all citizens. Nevertheless, in the context of a serious economic crisis facing the country, the focus has been on short-term austerity measures that risk having long-term adverse impacts on the economic, social and cultural rights of Egypt’s most vulnerable.

EGYPT’S ECONOMIC CRISIS IS WORSENING EXISTING PATTERNS OF POVERTY AND INEQUALITY. A severe economic and social decline is gripping Egypt. The country’s continued political turmoil has resulted in a steep drop in foreign investment and tourism, compounded by the enduring economic crisis in Egypt’s Southern European export markets. Annual GDP growth plummeted from 5.1% in 2010, to 1.8% in 2011 and has hovered at around 2% since (World Bank, 2014). By May 2014, the Egyptian pound had lost more than 19% of its value (Namatalla, 2014). The budget deficit has also continued to increase, up from 10.8% of GDP in 2012 to 11.5% in 2013 (Daily News Egypt, 2013).

The country’s economic crisis hits an already vulnerable population, compounding long-standing patterns of social deprivation. Over a quarter of the population (26.3%) lived below the national poverty line in 2012/13 (CAPMAS, 2014). Similarly, 27.1% of children in Egypt live below the country’s lower poverty line (MoF, 2014). As shown in the graph below, the national poverty rate increased by nearly 50% in the decade and a half before the revolution. This suggests that economic growth, which was steady over the same period, did not result in improvement in the lives of ordinary Egyptians. There are also vast regional differences in rates of poverty.

EGYPT HAS FAILED TO TAKE ADEQUATE LEGISLATIVE, ADMINISTRATIVE AND, IMPORTANTLY, BUDGETARY MEASURES TO ADDRESS POVERTY AND INEQUALITY. Instead, the focus has been on short-term austerity measures. As well as cutting food and fuel subsidies, as discussed in Factsheet 9, raising revenue through increasing regressive taxes (largely on goods and services) has been almost exclusively prioritized. For example, sales tax exemptions on several essential commodities have been removed (ECESR, 2013). Moves to replace Egypt’s sales tax with a Value-Added Tax have also begun. Although these potentially retrogressive measures
Egypt's human rights obligations, with detrimental impacts on the welfare of a population already suffering from increasing levels of poverty, inequality and deprivation.

**Suggested Questions:**

What decision-making processes ensure the acceptance of bilateral loans or aid assistance does not compromise the State party’s human rights obligations?

**Recommendations**

Reduce the incidence of poverty and improve living standards of people by developing and implementing a comprehensive and human rights-based national poverty eradication program. Such a program should include specific measures to mitigate the adverse impacts of the current economic crisis, paying particular attention to disadvantaged and marginalized individuals and groups.

Fulfill the obligation to dedicate the maximum available resources to realizing economic and social rights by increasing, to the extent possible, budget allocations to social sectors such as housing, food, health and education, as well as by progressive tax collection policies and more effectively targeted subsidies.

In line with the recommendation of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, ensure that economic, social and cultural rights, particularly of the most vulnerable groups, are fully taken into account and not undermined in negotiations with international financial institutions.

Extend an invitation to the Special Rapporteur on Extreme Poverty and Human Rights to visit the country.

**About this Factsheet Series**

This Factsheet was prepared by the Egyptian Center for Economic and Social Rights (ECESR) and the Center for Economic and Social Rights (CESR) in light of Egypt’s appearance before the Human Rights Council’s Universal Periodic Review in 2014. The 11 factsheets in this series accompany the joint submission on economic, social, and cultural rights in Egypt endorsed by 130 non-governmental organizations and labor unions.
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**Conditionality of Assistance Skews Policy Priorities.**

Egypt has been sporadically negotiating for a loan with the International Monetary Fund (IMF), on-and-off since May 2011, though negotiations were suspended indefinitely in July 2013 (Aggour, 2014). In the meantime, loans from neighboring allies reached $19.2 by the end of June 2014 (ECESR, 2014). Egypt’s debt-to-GDP ratio stands at 90% (Trading Economics, 2014). This makes it the world’s 19th-most indebted country.

While loans can be beneficial for increasing foreign currency reserves, they can have a detrimental impact on the economy as a whole; as the budget allocated to paying off loans increases, the budget deficit increases over many years. Further, there is a real risk that in the rush to secure international assistance, policy makers in Egypt, donor countries, and international financial institutions may pay insufficient attention or ignore

**Suggested Question:**

How will Egypt ensure that introducing a VAT will not disproportionately burden poor households?

**Recommended Questions:**

What plans, if any, does Egypt have to more towards a fairer distribution of wealth in the country, in line with its first cycle UPR commitments?

---

**Composition of Tax Revenue, 2012/13**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal income tax</td>
<td>37.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes on goods and services</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate income tax</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital gains tax</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes on property</td>
<td>11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes on Petroleum Authority and Suez Canal</td>
<td>7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes on international trade</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own calculation based on Ministry of Finance, 2013